Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Ying Zhu, Cai-Xia Yang and Zhong-Jian Jia*

State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Chemistry and Chemical Engineering College, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jiazj@lzu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.038 wR factor = 0.082 Data-to-parameter ratio = 10.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

A rearranged labdane diterpene glycoside

The title compound, 13(R)-9 β -methyl-1(10),14-dienefriedlabda-13-O- α -L-2'-acetylrhamonopyanoside or 3-methyl-5-(1,2,5,5-tetramethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,7-octahydronaphthyl)pent-1-en-3-yl 2-O-acetylrhamnopyanoside, C₂₈H₄₆O₆, is a bicyclic diterpenoid glycoside. The rearranged methyl group (from C10 to C9) is in a β orientation and a double bond is formed between C1 and C10 in the rearrangement. The L-rhamnose group on the cyclohexane ring is equatorial and the configuration of the C atom to which the methylene group is attached is *R*. The cyclohexene, cyclohexane and L-rhamnose rings in the molecule adopt half-chair, chair and chair conformations, respectively. In the crystal structure, molecules are linked by O-H···O hydrogen bonds. The L-2'-acetylrhamnose hydroxy groups serve as hydrogen-bond donors, forming molecular chains along the *b* axis.

Comment

Labdane diterpenoids are among the most common types of diterpenes isolated from terrestrial higher plants and sponges (Hanson, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001; Tanaka, et al., 2001). Many of these terpenoids possess significant pharmacological properties, such as cytotoxic, antibacterial, antifungal, antiinflammatory, analgesic, antitumor and antimutagenic (Ahsan et al., 2003; Itokawa & Morita, 1988; Dimas et al., 1998; Kittakoop et al., 2001; Kubo et al. 2003; Minami et al., 2002; Miyazawa et al., 1995). Therefore, the semisynthesis of minor components from other abundant natural products is of longstanding interest. To date, a number of semisyntheses of these biologically active labdane-type diterpenoids have been reported (Pathak et al. 2005). The current interest of our group in the phytochemical study of northwest Chinese plants aims to find new natural compounds with interesting biological activities. In this connection we have studied labdane diterpenoids (Wang et al., 2002; Yang, et al., 2005).



© 2006 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved In this paper, we report the crystal structure and relative stereochemistry of a diterpenoid glycoside, (I), with a rearReceived 10 January 2006 Accepted 19 January 2006 Online 25 January 2006

0762 Zhu et al. • C₂₈H₄₆O₆





The molecular structure of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms have been omitted.

ranged labdane skeleton, from *Aster homochlamydeus* Handmazz. Crystal structures of typical labdane-type diterpenoids have previously been reported (Nagashima *et al.*, 1995; Bernardinelli *et al.*, 1988; Tavanaiepour *et al.*, 1987; Bjåmer *et al.*, 1968).

Compound (I) has the molecular formula $C_{28}H_{46}O_6$, established by FAB-MS, which gave [M+Na] at m/z 501 and [M+Li] at 485. Its IR spectrum showed the presence of hydroxyl functionalities (3483 and 3373 cm⁻¹). Since compound (I) has five degrees of unsaturation, it must contain one glycoside, two olefin bonds and two carbocyclic rings.

As shown in Fig. 1, compound (I) is a bicyclic diterpene glycoside of a C_{20} skelton with a terminal double bond, C14—C15. It is slightly different from typical labdane diterpenes. The methyl group (C20) normally located at C10 is moved to C9 and the C1–C10 bond length indicates double-bond character. The methyl group at C9 is in the β -orientation. Thus compound (I) is a diterpenoid with a rearranged labdane-type skelton (Urones *et al.*, 1994; Feresin *et al.*, 2003).

The bond distance between C9 and C20 is 1.532 (3) Å. The olefin bond distance in a carbocyclic ring, between C1 and C10, is 1.328 (4) Å, and corresponding bond angles show the characteristics of an olefin $[C2-C1-C10 = 125.4 (3) \text{ and } C1-C10-C5 121.2 (3)^{\circ}]$. The bond distance between C14 and C15 is 1.264 (4) Å, with C13-C14-C15 = 128.8 (5)^{\circ}. The structure demonstrates that an equatorial L-2'-acetylrhamnose group and a methyl group are attached at C13. The bond lengths to these substituents [C13-C16 = 1.509 (4) Å and C13-O1 = 1.455 (3) Å] are in good agreement with the standard values observed for C-C (methyl) and C-O (sugar hydroxyl) distances, respectively (Allen *et al.*, 1987). The *R* configuration at C13 is confirmed unambiguously (Bernardinelli *et al.*, 1988). Furthermore, the relative configurations at C4, C5, C8 and C9 were also determined, as shown in Fig. 1.

The endocyclic torsion angles (Table 1) show that the cyclohexene ring is in the half-chair conformation (Daux *et al.*, 1974, 1976), which has an approximate twofold axis passing





The molecular packing of (I), viewed along the c axis. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted.

through the mid-point of the C3-C4 bond and the mid-point of the C1-C10 bond, with an asymmetry parameter ΔC_2 of 0.88°. The cyclohexane ring and L-2'-acetylrhamnose groups are in approximate chair conformations (Daux *et al.*, 1974, 1976); their conformations are similar to others in the literature (Tavanaiepour *et al.*, 1987). The molecules of (I) are associated in the crystal state by O-H···O hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl functions in the L-2'-acetylrhamnose group (Table 2). As shown in Fig. 2, the molecules form columns along the *b* axis.

Experimental

The extraction and isolation of compound (I) have already been described (Yang *et al.*, 2005). Compound (I) was dissolved in chloroform and acetone (1:1 v/v), and slow evaporation gave crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction. The optical rotation is $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +33.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.4, CHCl₃) and its melting point is 420 K.

Crystal data	
$C_{28}H_{46}O_{6}$	$D_x = 1.137 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 478.65$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, P2 ₁	Cell parameters from 42
a = 11.542 (2) Å	reflections
b = 8.793 (2) Å	$\theta = 2.7 - 14.3^{\circ}$
c = 14.095 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 102.14 \ (1)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
V = 1398.6 (4) Å ³	Prism, colourless
Z = 2	$0.58 \times 0.40 \times 0.16 \ \text{mm}$
Data collection	
Siemens P4 diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.1^{\circ}$
ω scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 14$
Absorption correction: none	$k = 0 \rightarrow 11$
3583 measured reflections	$l = -18 \rightarrow 17$
3289 independent reflections	3 standard reflections
1841 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	every 97 reflections
$R_{\rm c} = 0.020$	intensity decay: 3.0%

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.04P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.082$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
S = 0.81	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.12 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
3289 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.13 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
320 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction coefficient: 0.0125 (13)

Table 1

_ ..

Selected torsion angles (°).

C10-C1-C2-C3	15.5 (4)	C4-C5-C10-C1	17.8 (3)
C1-C2-C3-C4	-44.8(4)	C6-C5-C10-C9	62.0 (3)
C2-C3-C4-C5	60.4 (3)	C8-C9-C10-C5	-59.5(3)
C3-C4-C5-C10	-45.6(3)	C25-O2-C21-C22	-59.6(3)
C10-C5-C6-C7	-55.5 (3)	O2-C21-C22-C23	52.9 (3)
C5-C6-C7-C8	52.5 (3)	C21-C22-C23-C24	-50.6(3)
C6-C7-C8-C9	-50.3(3)	C22-C23-C24-C25	52.8 (3)
C7-C8-C9-C10	51.3 (3)	C21-O2-C25-C24	60.2 (3)
C2-C1-C10-C5	-2.1 (4)	C23-C24-C25-O2	-55.0 (3)

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geom	etry (Å, °).

D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
0.82 0.82	1.91 2.17	2.714 (3) 2.968 (3)	165 163
	<i>D</i> -H 0.82 0.82	$D-H$ $H \cdots A$ 0.82 1.91 0.82 2.17	$D-H$ $H\cdots A$ $D\cdots A$ 0.82 1.91 2.714 (3) 0.82 2.17 2.968 (3)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$.

All H atoms were refined as riding on their parent atoms with C– H distances of 0.93–0.98 Å and O–H = 0.82 Å, and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,O)$. In the absence of significant anomalous scattering, Friedel pairs were merged and the absolute configuration is arbitrary.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 29972017) for financial support.

References

- Ahsan, M., Islam, S. K. N., Gray, A. I. & Stimson, W. H. (2003). J. Nat. Prod. 66, 958–961.
- Allen F. H., Kennard. O., Waston, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Bernardinelli, G., Vial, C., Starkemann, S. & Naf, F. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 715–717.
- Bjåmer, K., Ferguson, G. & Melville, R. D. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 855-865.
- Daux, W. L., Weeks, C. M. & Rohrer, D. C. (1974). *Topics of Stereochemistry*, Vol. 8, edited by E. L. Eliel & N. L. Allinger, pp. 165–187. New York: John Wiley.
- Daux, W. L., Weeks, C. M. & Rohrer, D. C. (1976). *Topics of Sterochemistry*, Vol. 9, edited by E. L. Eliel & N. L. Allinger, pp. 279–289. New York: John Wiley.
- Dimas, K., Demetzos, C., Marsellos, M., Sotiriadou, R., Malamas, M. & Kokkinopoulos, D. (1998). *Planta Med.* 64, 208–211.
- Feresin, G. E., Tapia, A., Gimenez, A., Ravelo, A. Z., Sortino, M. & Schmeda-Hirschmann, G. (2003). J. Ethnopharmacol. 89, 71–80.
- Hanson, J. R. (1997). Nat. Prod. Rep. 14, 245-258.
- Hanson, J. R. (1998). Nat. Prod. Rep. 15, 93-106.
- Hanson, J. R. (1999). Nat. Prod. Rep. 16, 209-219.
- Hanson, J. R. (2000). Nat. Prod. Rep. 17, 165-174.
- Hanson, J. R. (2001). Nat. Prod. Rep. 18, 88-94.
- Itokawa, H. & Morita, H. (1988). Planta Med. 54, 117-120.
- Kittakoop, P., Wanasith, S., Watts, P., Kramyu, J., Tanticharoen, M. & Thebtaranonth, Y. (2001). J. Nat. Prod. 64, 385–388.
- Kubo, I., Fujita, K. I., Kubo, A., Nihei, K. I. & Lunde, C. S. (2003). J. Agric. Food. Chem. 51, 3951–3957.
- Minami, T., Wada, S. I., Tokuda, H., Tanabe, G., Muraoka, O. & Tanaka, R. (2002). J. Nat. Prod. 65, 1921–1923.
- Miyazawa, M., Shimamura, H., Nakamura, S. & Kameoka, H. (1995). J. Agric. Food. Chem. 43, 3012–3015.
- Nagashima, F., Tanaka, H., Takaoka, S. & Asakawa, Y. (1995). *Phytochemistry*, 45, 353–363.
- Pathak, A., Aslaoui, J. & Morin, C. (2005). J. Org. Chem. 70, 4184-4187.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). *SHELXTL*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS. Version 2.10b. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Tanaka, J., Marriott, G. & Higa, T. (2001). J. Nat. Prod. 64, 1468-1470.
- Tavanaiepour, I., Watson, W. H., Gao, F. & Mabrg, T. J. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 754–756.
- Urones, J. G., Marcos, I. S., Basabe, P., Sexmero, M. J., Carrillo, H. & Melchor, M. J. (1994). *Phytochemistry*, 37, 1359–1361.
- Wang, W.-S., Li, E.-W. & Jia, Z.-J. (2002). Pharmazie, 57, 343-345.
- Yang, C.-X., Zhang, Q. & Jia, Z.-J. (2005). Pharmazie, 60, 461-463.